Pathology turned its back on semiology
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CLINICAL PRESENTATION

We present a case of a 32-year-old caucasian female with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) diagnosed 7 years ago. At that time, patient had severe arthritis (hands, ankles and knees), cutaneous involvement (petechiae) and was treated with deflazacort and hydroxychloroquine. There were no signs of kidney damage (normal serum creatinine, inactive urinary sediment and no proteinuria). The following years were uneventful, until one year ago, when patient presented with worsening of arthritis. Bloodwork showed normochromic normocytic anemia (Hb 10.9 g/dL), leukopenia (4.33 x 10^9/L), normal platelet count, normal serum creatinine (Cr 0.73 mg/dL), positive antinuclear antibodies (ANA 1:640), positive double-stranded DNA antibody (anti-dsDNA 1509 UI/ml – ELISA), positive anti-glomerular basement membrane antibody (anti-GBM 36.4 UQ), low C3 (0.59 g/L; reference range 0.9 – 1.8 g/L) and low C4 levels (0.08 g/L; reference range 0.1 – 0.4 g/L). Laboratory tests also showed elevated urinary protein to creatinine ratio 1135 mg/g and presence of dysmorphic erythrocytes in urinary sediment.

A kidney biopsy was performed.
1. According to the clinical, serological and morphological features presented, what is the most likely diagnosis?

The fragment obtained from kidney biopsy consisted of renal capsule, cortical with 23 glomeruli, medium sized-arteries and medulla. In light microscopy, podocyte hyperplasia, mesangial and endocapillary hypercellularity with neutrophils (Figure 1, square), as well as mesangial expansion, were evident in virtually all glomeruli sampled. Fibrinoid necrosis (Figure 2, arrow) and cellular crescents were present in 6 glomeruli (Figure 3). Some had hyaline subendothelial deposits (Figure 4, arrow), thrombi (Figure 5, arrow) and cellular debris, compatible with karyorrhexis (Figure 1, arrow). The tubulointerstitial compartment was well preserved and no significant changes were noted in the observed arteries. The immunofluorescence (IMF) was performed in paraffin embedded tissue and documented deposition of IgG (+++), IgA (+), IgM (++), C3 (+++), C1q (++), kappa (++) and lambda (++) light chains in the mesangium and parietal aspect of the glomerular capillaries (Figure 6).

The morphological features encountered, together with clinical and serological evidence of SLE, support the diagnosis of Lupus Nephritis (LN). Renal disease is a common manifestation in SLE, affecting up to 60% of patients during its course. Moreover, the finding of a full house pattern in IMF along with widespread glomerular hypercellularity in a patient with SLE strongly suggests LN. In this case, the presence of endocapillary and extracapillary hypercellularity in more than 50% of the glomeruli (previously defined as diffuse involvement) classifies it as a Class IV LN.

2. What is the activity/chronicity index?

3. According to the new classification system, what is the prognosis?

4. Would you perform a kidney biopsy in this patient?
2. What is the activity/chronicity index?

The modified National Institute of Health (NIH) activity and chronicity scoring system assigns a maximum of 24 points for total activity and 12 points for chronicity (Table 1).

In this case there is a total score of 15 in the activity index: endocapillary hypercellularity and karyorrhexis in more than 50% of glomeruli (3+3 points), fibrinoid necrosis and cellular crescents in 25-50% of glomeruli (4+4 points), hyaline deposits in less than 25% of glomeruli (1 point) and no interstitial inflammation was observed (0 points). There were no criteria for chronic lesions.

3. According to the new classification system, what is the prognosis?

Currently, it is accepted that the clinical outcome of different classes is variable, with heterogeneity within the classes themselves. Early diagnosis and treatment of LN improves prognosis. Additionally, several data suggest worse outcomes in black and Hispanic patients compared with non-Hispanic white patients, in proliferative LN and in inappropriately treated classes III and IV.

Several recent reviews have identified new putative prognostic factors, namely fibrous crescents, fibrinoid necrosis, vascular and tubulointerstitial lesions. The latter is potentially the most significant prognostic indicator. The need for revision of NIH scores came mainly from limitations regarding reproducibility and prognostic value. In addition to the above mentioned features, another important modification introduced by this proposed scoring system is related to the elimination of segmental and global subdivisions of class IV, due to unclear definitions, interobserver variability and uncertain clinical significance. Lack of prediction of treatment response is still a concern. Data regarding chronic lesions in the postinduction biopsy also seem to play a role in defining long-term renal outcomes, although indications to repeat biopsy are still controversial.

In this particular case, despite the presence of fibrinoid necrosis and hyaline deposits – which might confer a worse prognosis – the crescents are cellular and no interstitial inflammation was observed. In addition, hypercellularity, karyorrhexis and absence of chronic lesions reinforce the evidence of active renal involvement. As long as early and appropriate treatment is initiated, a favorable outcome might be anticipated.

4. Would you perform a kidney biopsy in this patient?

Kidney biopsy represents a useful diagnostic and prognostic tool in nephrology, providing valuable information regarding etiology, ongoing activity and potential reversibility of renal disease. However, serious complications may arise and potential risks should not outweigh expected benefits. No universal criteria are established, but most indications for this procedure are based on a composite of clinical and laboratorial findings, such as elevated serum creatinine, presence of increasing proteinuria and active urinary sediment – frail surrogates of kidney function and damage.

This premise is particularly relevant in patients with SLE and kidney involvement, since in most cases, clinical, serological or laboratory tests cannot accurately predict renal biopsy findings. Significant renal involvement (Class III, IV and V) has been documented in SLE patients with mild proteinuria, independently of abnormal urinary sediment; on the other hand, active urinary sediment can be seen in flares with mild histological activity. Thus, the degree of proteinuria and the presence of hematuria are neither sensitive nor specific for renal disease activity or severity.

Historically most guidelines recommended renal biopsy with proteinuria above 0.5 g/day with active urinary sediment or isolated proteinuria superior to 1 g/day. Although the threshold for inclusion of molecular data, adding independent diagnostic and prognostic information.

### Table 1

#### Proposed modified NIH lupus nephritis activity and chronicity scoring system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modified NIH activity index</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endocapillary hypercellularity ( % of affected glomeruli)</td>
<td>&lt; 25% (1+) 25-50% (2+) &gt; 50% (3+)</td>
<td>(0-3) x2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils/karyorrhexis ( % of affected glomeruli)</td>
<td>0 -3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinoid necrosis ( % of affected glomeruli)</td>
<td>0 -3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaline deposits – Wire loop lesions / hyaline thrombi ( % of affected glomeruli)</td>
<td>0 -3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular/fibrocellular crescents ( % of affected glomeruli)</td>
<td>0 -3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial Inflammation – Leukocytes ( % of affected cortex)</td>
<td>0 -3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modified NIH chronicity index</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total glomerulosclerosis score – Global and/or segmental ( % of affected glomeruli)</td>
<td>&lt; 25% (1+) 25-50% (2+) &gt; 50% (3+)</td>
<td>0-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrous crescents ( % of affected glomeruli)</td>
<td>0 -3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubular atrophy ( % of cortical tubules)</td>
<td>0 -3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial fibrosis ( % of affected cortex)</td>
<td>0 -3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
performing a kidney biopsy is still not well defined in this population, most authors now agree that the presence of > 0.5 g/day of proteinuria, independent of the presence of microscopic hematuria and/or an increase in serum creatinine, is a reasonable indication, considering the potentially aggressive nature of this entity.1,4,5,16 Persistent increase in serum creatinine (> 30% from baseline)15,16, glomerular hematuria or leukocyturia (even if isolated) are also accepted as rational indications for kidney biopsy.11,16 Patients presenting with unexplained renal insufficiency with normal urinary findings can benefit as well from morphological evaluation, since it may differentiate active from chronic damage.8,11

Given the absence of clinicopathological correlations in LN, keeping a low threshold for kidney biopsy in these patients seems reasonable. Therefore, biopsying this patient with 1 g/day of proteinuria was justified and proved to be enlightening. Renal morphology is essential not only to confirm (or exclude) the diagnosis of LN, but also to establish activity and chronicity of renal injury, guide therapeutic strategy, and provide prognostic information regarding potential response to treatment and of progression to end-stage renal disease (ESRD).
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